INCLUSION COMPLEXES OF NICARDIPINE - HCl FOR ORAL ADMINISTRATION
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INTRODUCTION

(NIC) is a calcium entry blocker which is indicated for the prophylaxis of angina and mild to moderate hypertension.1 The main pharmaceutical drawbacks are substantially related to low solubility and fast photodecomposition.2 Moreover, the extensive presystemic (first-pass) metabolism of (NIC) is responsible for low bioavailability (approximately 30-45% after an oral dose of 40 mg).3

Cycloexdetrins (CDs) are cyclic oligosaccharides of D-glucopyranose units α-(1,4) linked in a ring formation containing a relatively hydrophobic central cavity and a hydrophilic outer surface. They have the capacity to form inclusion complexes with many drugs by taking a whole drug molecule, or a part of it, into the cavity.4,5

The complexation with (CDs) is an established procedure to improve the biopharmaceutical properties of drugs with poor water solubility. The interaction of ketoprofen and ibuprofen with (β-CD) in solution and in a solid state has been studied by Mura et al.6 Many other drugs have been tested for (CD) inclusion to enhance solubility such as glipizide, itraconazole, nimesulide and piroxicam.7-10 The improved bioavailability of many drugs complexed with (CDs) has been documented in several articles.11-13

In the present study, the formation of NIC: β-CD and NIC: HP-β-CD complexes in solution was investigated by phase solubility. The complexes in the solid state were prepared...
by different techniques. Such complexes were characterized by FTIR, DSC and XRD. Moreover, the bioavailability of the drug from a selected formulation that exerted the highest rate and extent of dissolution was tested in comparison to drug alone.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**
Nicardipine HCl and Procaine HCl (Kindly supplied by Misr Co., Egypt); β-cyclodextrin (MW = 1135), Hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (MW = 1380) (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo, USA); ethanol, Phosphate buffer, n-hexane, Diethyl ether, Ammonium bromide and Triethanolamine (El-Nasr Co., Egypt); Methanol-HPLC grade (Honil Limited, London, U.K); Acetonitrile-HPLC grade (BDH Laboratory Supplies, England).

**Methods**
All experiments were carried out under conditions of protection from light since (NIC) is photosensitive in nature.²

**Phase solubility studies**
Phase solubility studies were performed according to the method reported by Higuchi and Connors.¹⁴ Excess amounts of (NIC) (120 mg) were weighed into 25-ml volumetric glass flasks, to which 20 ml of distilled water containing various concentrations of (CDs) were added. With β-CD, the study was carried out up to its maximum solubility (1.8% w/v), meanwhile with HP-β-CD it was carried out in the concentration range of (0-15% w/v). The securely capped flasks were placed in a water bath and shaken continuously at a constant temperature (25±0.5°C) until equilibrium was reached (approximately 7 days). The samples were filtered through a double layered filter paper (Whatman 42). The filtered solutions were appropriately diluted and the amount of dissolved (NIC) was determined spectrophotometrically at 358 nm. The studies were carried out in duplicate.

**Preparation of solid complexes**
Three methods were used for the preparation of the solid complexes (SCs). They were:

I- Co-evaporation
Amounts of (NIC) and (CDs) in the weight ratios 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5 and 1:10 (drug - to -CD w/w) were dissolved at 40°C for β-CD, and 20°C for HP-β-CD in the lowest volume of 50% ethanol (which is necessary to obtain a solution) and maintained under stirring for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated in a vacuum oven at 50°C until complete drying was obtained as checked by constant weight.¹⁵,¹⁶

II- Kneading
Amounts of (NIC) with either β-CD or HP- β-CD in the optimal weight ratio that exhibited good dissolution properties (from co evaporates) were triturated with a small amount (4 ml) of ethanol: water mixture (1:3). The slurry was kneaded for 60 min and then dried under vacuum at 40°C for 48 hrs.¹⁷

III- Co-precipitation
Since the phase solubility diagram with β-CD was of the Bₛ-type, solid complexes could be prepared by co precipitation, which is only possible for this type of phase solubility diagram. However, the application of this method in the pharmaceutical field is rather difficult because of the significantly low yield of the complex.

The solid complex was prepared by mixing appropriate amounts of (NIC) and β-CD in water. The appropriate mixture was determined by examining the descending curvature of the Bₛ-type phase solubility diagram. For example, 0.6 g of (NIC) and 1.5 g of β-CD were added to 100 ml of water and the mixture was stirred at 25°C for 7 days. The complex, which precipitated as a microcrystalline powder, was removed by filtration and dried under vacuum at 40°C for 24 hrs.¹⁸

**Preparation of physical mixtures (PMs)**
Fine powdered (PMs) of (NIC) with β- or HP- β-CD with guest -host weight ratios of 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5 and 1:10 w/w were prepared by blending in a mortar for 5 min.

Powder of (NIC), (PMs) and (SCs) were sieved to obtain particles passing through 355 um and retained on 150 um sieve.
**Dissolution studies**

Review of literature did not reveal any compendial method for the dissolution testing of (NIC). However, Said et al. used the rotating basket method to determine the intrinsic dissolution rates of (NIC) in 500 ml of purified water maintained at 37° and at a rotation of 100 rpm.

Dissolution studies were performed using the rotating paddle method. Samples of (NIC), (PMs) and (SCs) equivalent to 20 mg of (NIC) were added to the dissolution medium (900 ml of dist. H2O at a temperature of 37°). The latter was stirred with a rotating paddle at 100 rpm. 5 ml samples were withdrawn at appropriate time intervals (2, 6, 12, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 min), filtered (Whatman 42), appropriately diluted and analyzed for (NIC) spectrophotometrically at 358 nm. The same volume of fresh medium was replaced and correction for cumulative dilution was calculated.

The amount of (NIC) dissolved at different time intervals was calculated using a standard calibration curve developed in the same medium. Each experiment was carried out in triplicates.

**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy**

Fourier transform IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FTIR spectrophotometer using KBr disk method. The scanning range was 400-4000 cm⁻¹ and the resolution was 1 cm⁻¹.

**Differential scanning calorimetry**

The DSC thermograms were recorded on a Shimadzu-DSC 50. Samples (1.3 mg) were heated in hermetically sealed aluminum pans over the temperature range of 30-300° at a constant rate of 10° / min under a nitrogen purge (30 ml / min).

**X-ray diffraction**

X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained using a Siemens Kristalloflex D-5000 powder diffractometer with CuKα radiation. Diffractograms were run at a scanning speed of 8° / min over the 20 range of 0-80°.

**Bioavailability study**

a- Study design

Six rabbits (weighing 3-3.5 kg) were randomly divided into 2 groups of three rabbits each (parallel design). The study was designed as a single oral dose. An equivalent amount to 4.2 mg drug / kg body weight of rabbits, was calculated for each product. Each group received a single dose of one of the following (A: “NIC” alone and B: NIC-β-CD, 1:10 w/w). Each of the (NIC) systems was suspended in 5 ml water with 5% acacia and given orally to the rabbit through a stomach tube.

Blood samples (3 ml) were drawn through the sinus orbital at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 120, 180, 240, 360 and 480 min after each product administration. The blood samples were placed in heparinized tubes, centrifuged immediately for 10 min at 3000 rpm to obtain plasma samples, and were stored at -20° for subsequent assay.

b- Sample preparation

An internal standard (Procaine HCl) solution (1 ug/ml) was prepared in a mixture of 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and absolute ethanol (20: 1).

One ml of internal standard solution and 6 ml of diethylether - n-hexane (1:1) were added to 1 ml of plasma in a 10-ml centrifuge tube equipped with a stopper. The tube was shaken for 15 min on a mechanical shaker and centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm. The organic layer was transferred to another 10-ml tube and evaporated at 50° to dryness in an oven. The residue was dissolved in 0.6 ml of the HPLC eluent, then filtered through a Millipore filter of 0.4 um. A volume of 80 ul of filtered solution was automatically injected onto the column.

The concentration of (NIC) in samples was determined from calibration curve of the peak area ratio versus plasma nicardipine HCl concentration.

c- HPLC conditions

HPLC (Kontron Instruments, Switzerland) system consisted of HPLC pump 420 (pump A and pump B), mixing pump 494, multiport computer RS-232, HPLC autosampler 460, and oven controller 480. Temperature column oven 480, fixed with reverse phase C18 column (inert sil ODS-2, 4.6 x 250 mm, 5um particle size and pre column). HPLC detector 430 with range of 0.2, resp. time 0.05, remote, monitor Mitsubishi and data system computer 450.
The mobile phase of (NIC) analysis consisted of methanol - 0.04M ammonium bromide in water - acetonitrile (40:36:24) and 0.6 ml of triethanolamine to give a pH of 7.8 (21). The mobile phase mixture was degassed by ultrasonification immediately before use. The eluent was passed through the column at a flow-rate of 1 ml / min which generated a pressure of approximately 114 bar. The eluent was monitored at 254 nm. Although monitoring at 238 nm gave the highest peak for (NIC), endogenous substances may interfere. At 254 nm, however, there is no interference and no peaks appeared at the retention time of (NIC). The retention time for procaine HCl was 5.7 min and for (NIC) it was 12.88 min.

d- Data analysis

The maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) and time to reach the C_{max} concentration (T_{max}) were obtained directly from the plasma concentration-time curve. The area under the curve (AUC) of plasma concentration vs. time (0-8 hr) was calculated by the trapezoidal method. Mean residence time (MRT) was calculated from the following equation:

\[
MRT = \frac{AUMC_{0-8h}}{AUC_{0-8h}}
\]

Where, AUMC is the area under the (time x nicardipine HCl concentration) versus time curve. Relative bioavailability was calculated from the comparison of the AUC_{0-8h} of the solid complex with that for the drug suspension.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Phase solubility studies**

Figure 1 shows the equilibrium phase solubility diagrams obtained for (NIC) with the two (CDs) used. The drug solubility increased linearly, in accord with the amount of HP-β-CD added; the diagram is of A_L-type. Recently, Rajewski and Stella, described that when there is a linear increase in drug solubility with increasing (CD) concentration, a (CD) complex of a drug results from 1:1 mol/mol interaction. However the solubility curve in the case of β-CD, was a B_s-type with the precipitation of microcrystalline NIC-β-CD complex at high β-CD concentrations. The stoichiometry of NIC-β-CD complex was (1:5, molar ratio). It was estimated from the data in the plateau region of solubility diagram, following the calculation:

\[
\text{Molar ratio} = \frac{\text{Total guest in the system - dissolved guest at beginning of plateau}}{\beta - \text{CD concentration differences in plateau}}
\]

**Fig. 1:** Phase Solubility Diagram of (NIC) in Presence of (A) β-CD and (B) HP-β-CD in Water at 25°.
The extent of complexation in aqueous media is characterized by the stability constant (K). It is calculated according to Higuchi and Connors, from the following equation:

\[ K = \frac{\text{slope}}{S_0 (1 - \text{slope})} \]

Where S0 is the drug solubility in absence of (CDs).

The apparent stability constant was found to be 8.19 M⁻¹ for HP-β-CD, suggesting that the inclusion compounds formed were not sufficiently stable. While, the K value for β-CD was 196.8 M⁻¹, which is nearly included in the range of 200 and 5000 M⁻¹, considered by various authors as the most suitable, given that, in this range, the formation of an inclusion complex may contribute to the improvement of bioavailability of poorly soluble drugs. The reduced complexation activity of HP-β-CD was consistent with the results for many drugs and may be due to the steric hindrance of the substituent groups because (NIC) is a rather bulky molecule.

Table 1 summarizes the phase solubility data of the complexes obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>B-CD</th>
<th>HP-β-CD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of diagram</td>
<td>Bₐ</td>
<td>AₐL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar ratio (drug to CD)</td>
<td>1 : 5</td>
<td>1 : 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3.48 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>3.269 x 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>5.99 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>2.508 x 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9272</td>
<td>0.9879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability constant (K) (M⁻¹)</td>
<td>196.8</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility factor*</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solubility factor* = Total solubility/intrinsic solubility. AₐL, Bₐ = Types of phase solubility diagrams.

Fernandes et al., studied the inclusion complexation between (NIC) and β-CD or HP-β-CD in aqueous environment. They classified the phase solubility profiles with both (CDs) as AₐL-type and stated that, more stable NIC-CDs complexes were formed in alkaline medium in which the drug is in its non-ionized form.

**Dissolution studies**

The mean dissolution curves of (NIC) from various binary systems with (CDs) are presented in Figures 2-5. The results in terms of initial dissolution rate over the first 20 min (IDR), time to dissolve 50% drug (t₅₀%), percent of active ingredient dissolved after 60 min (DP60) and dissolution efficiency after 60 min (DE%) are collected in Tables 2, 3. It is clear that all the systems with (CDs) exhibited better dissolution properties than drug alone. The increased dissolution rate of (PMs) was attributable both to improvement in drug wettability and to formation of readily soluble complexes in the dissolution medium. As for the other systems, in case of combinations with β-CD (Table 2), co-evaporation was found to be the most effective technique in achieving the enhancement of drug dissolution rate (t₅₀% ~28 min), followed in order by kneading and co-precipitation. Also, for preparations with HP-β-CD, co-evaporation method showed greater improvement (t₅₀% = 35.6 min) compared to kneading method (t₅₀% = 89.4 min). The greater performance of complexes prepared by co-evaporation rather than those prepared by kneading or co-precipitation could be ascribed to complete complex formation. This result was consistent with that reported by Veiga et al. and Tenjarla et al.

The observed increase in dissolution rate from (CD) complexes may be due to the increase in solubility as mentioned before in solubility studies. Other workers had suggested that dissolution rates from (CD) complexes are also dependent on other factors: these include diffusion and dissociation in the dissolution medium, decrease in crystallinity and enhanced wettability of the drug by the inclusion complexation.

It was not guaranteed that the powder obtained was a true homogenous inclusion complex. The isolated solid product may only be a finely dispersed mixture of host and guest. In many cases the product is a mixture of complex, uncomplexed guest, and empty i.e. hydrated (CD). The question may be clarified by applying the suitable methods i.e. FTIR spectroscopy, DSC and X-ray diffractometry.
**Fig. 2:** Dissolution profiles of (NIC) from NIC-β-CD systems in dist. water at 37°.

**Fig. 3:** Effect of method of preparation on the dissolution of (NIC) from (1:10) NIC-β-CD complex.

**Fig. 4:** Dissolution profiles of (NIC) from NIC-HP-β-CD systems in dist. water at 37°.

**Fig. 5:** Effect of method of preparation on the dissolution of (NIC) from (1:2) NIC-HP-β-CD complex.
Table 2: Dissolution parameters of (NIC) for different NIC-β-CD systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (w/w)</th>
<th>IDR (% dissolved/ min)</th>
<th>T_{50%} (min)</th>
<th>DP_{60} (%)</th>
<th>DE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIC</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.02</td>
<td>246 ± 18.1</td>
<td>23.4 ± 0.48</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC- to-β-CD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 1</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.03</td>
<td>&gt; 246</td>
<td>23.00 ± 0.43</td>
<td>20.1 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 1</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.03</td>
<td>196.2 ± 12.8</td>
<td>30.2 ± 1.44</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 2</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.07</td>
<td>&gt; 246</td>
<td>26.6 ± 0.96</td>
<td>22.8 ± 1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 2</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.11</td>
<td>88.5 ± 11.39</td>
<td>44.6 ± 0.95</td>
<td>39.4 ± 1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.06</td>
<td>&gt; 246</td>
<td>25.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>23.3 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 3</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.14</td>
<td>68.6 ± 6.75</td>
<td>46.4 ± 0.29</td>
<td>42.5 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 5</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.03</td>
<td>&gt; 246</td>
<td>27.5 ± 1.17</td>
<td>25.8 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 5</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.03</td>
<td>88.2 ± 9.97</td>
<td>45.9 ± 0.95</td>
<td>42.3 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 10</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.06</td>
<td>&gt; 246</td>
<td>32.00 ± 1.17</td>
<td>30.2 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICa 1 : 10</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.11</td>
<td>27.9 ± 7.32</td>
<td>54.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>49.4 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICb 1 : 10</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.06</td>
<td>81.3 ± 7.06</td>
<td>47.3 ± 0.93</td>
<td>43.6 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICc 1 : 10</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.02</td>
<td>136.7 ± 14.6</td>
<td>43.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>39.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICa = IC prepared by solvent- evaporation. ICb = IC prepared by kneading. ICc = IC prepared by co-precipitation. Each result is the average of three determinations (± SD).

Table 3: Dissolution parameters of (NIC) for different NIC–HP-β-CD systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (w/w)</th>
<th>IDR (% dissolved/ min)</th>
<th>t_{50%} (min)</th>
<th>DP_{60} (%)</th>
<th>DE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIC</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.02</td>
<td>246 ± 18.1</td>
<td>23.4 ± 0.48</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC- to-HP-β-CD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.02</td>
<td>221.3 ± 5.00</td>
<td>24.3 ± 0.45</td>
<td>19.6 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.05</td>
<td>140.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>31.5 ± 1.33</td>
<td>29.5 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.047</td>
<td>153.9 ± 9.95</td>
<td>35.6 ± 0.45</td>
<td>32.1 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICa 1 : 2</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.02</td>
<td>35.6 ± 1.84</td>
<td>57.1 ± 0.29</td>
<td>47.7 ± 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICb 1 : 2</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.05</td>
<td>89.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>44.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>37.6 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>172.7 ± 2.26</td>
<td>29.3 ± 1.65</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 3</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.02</td>
<td>58.4 ± 3.46</td>
<td>50.00 ± 0.9</td>
<td>44.6 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 5</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.03</td>
<td>173.6 ± 4.15</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 5</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.051</td>
<td>112.1 ± 4.03</td>
<td>41.9 ± 0.45</td>
<td>35.1 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 1 : 10</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.05</td>
<td>175.9 ± 5.00</td>
<td>27.9 ± 0.45</td>
<td>24.9 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 1 : 10</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.051</td>
<td>104.5 ± 4.73</td>
<td>40.5 ± 0.81</td>
<td>34.4 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICa = IC prepared by solvent- evaporation. ICb = IC prepared by kneading. Each result is the average of three determinations (± SD).
Fourier - transform infrared spectroscopy

Infrared spectra of (NIC), as well as those of its different systems with (CDs), are represented in Figures 6, 7. As evidenced from the spectra, the N-H stretching band of (NIC) (at 3394 cm\(^{-1}\)) disappeared completely in case of (PMs) and (SCs) with the predominance of the O-H band of the (CDs) (at 3397 cm\(^{-1}\)) which was shifted to 3381-3383 cm\(^{-1}\) in case of β-CD systems (Figure 6). Moreover, in case of NIC-CDs complexes, there was a marked reduction in the intensity of some bands (C=O, C=C) and some other bands were practically undetectable (C-N, C-O). All these modifications may probably occur as a consequence of inclusion complex formation.\(^{33,34}\)

Differential scanning calorimetry

The DSC thermograms of the (PMs) of “NIC” and (CDs) as well as those of the solid binary complexes are shown in Figures 8, 9. The thermal curve of (NIC) (T\(_\text{peak} = 164.08^\circ\), ΔH\(_f\) = 81.29 J/g) indicated its crystalline anhydrous state. Liberation of crystal water from β-CD was observed as an endothermal effect peaked at 88.41°, whereas a broader endotherm effect, associated with water loss (at 60.53°) was recorded for amorphous HP-β-CD.

In case of β-CD systems, the (PM) exhibited two peaks at 72.05° and at 102.15° due to dehydration of β-CD. As can be seen, there is splitting in the β-CD endothermic peak which may be due to weak interaction during the development of the thermogram.\(^{35}\) While, the solid complex displayed an endothermal band at 85.14° due to the dehydration of β-CD and a very broad small endothermal peak at 214.65° which may be due to the shift of melting point of (NIC) to a higher temperature as a result of inclusion complex formation.\(^{17}\)

The characteristic thermal profile of the drug was present in its)PM (with HP-β-CD. The solid complex of the drug showed a complete disappearance of the (NIC) thermal profile which indicates the formation of an amorphous solid dispersion, molecular encapsulation of the drug inside the (CD) cavity, or both.\(^{36,37}\)

![Fig. 6: FTIR spectra of NIC-β-CD systems: a) NIC; b) pure β-CD; c) PM (1:10) and d) IC (1:10).](image1)

![Fig. 7: FTIR spectra of NIC-HP-β-CD systems: a) NIC; b) pure HP-β-CD; c) PM (1:2) and d) IC (1:2).](image2)
X-ray diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction patterns (Figures 10, 11) confirmed the results of DSC analysis. Patterns of the NIC-β-CD (PM) demonstrated nearly a simple superposition of each component, while that of the co evaporated sample was clearly different from each constituent and constituted a new solid phase. The β-CD complex gave somewhat diffuse diffraction patterns, suggesting that they are less crystalline than the (PM). In the (PM) with HP-β-CD, the presence of free crystalline (NIC) was revealed by few and broad peaks of low intensity which emerged on the diffuse background due to the amorphous carrier, indicating a clear loss of crystallinity of the drug. Only one diffraction peak attributable to (NIC) was still detectable also in the complex but in this case the finer dispersion in the carrier phase, due to the co evaporation method, makes the drug in a high energy state prone to be brought to an almost totally amorphous state by the thermal energy supplied during a DSC scan.

Bioavailability assessment

The NIC-β-CD (1:10, w/w) co-precipitate was expected to have good bioavailability after oral administration because its dissolution and release rates were significantly superior to those of drug alone. Thus, (NIC) and its β-CD co-precipitate were administered orally to
rabbits to evaluate their absorption characteristics. The graphical illustration of the mean (NIC) concentration in plasma as a function of time appears in Figure 12. It is obvious that there is a clear difference between the biological performance of the drug and the prepared co-precipitate which reflected itself by an apparent higher (NIC) levels. The mean values of pharmacokinetic parameters ($C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0-8\text{h}}$ and MRT) following administration of the drug and its co-precipitate were compiled in Table 4. The pharmacokinetic parameters for the selected formula and pure drug were analyzed using one way analysis of variance using (SPSS computer program).

**Fig. 12:** Mean plasma levels of (NIC) following the oral administration of different nicardipine HCl preparations (equivalent to 4.2 mg/kg NIC) in rabbits.

**Table 4:** Mean values (mean ± SD) of pharmacokinetic parameters after oral administration of nicardipine HCl in various formulations (equivalent to 4.2 mg/kg NIC) to rabbits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>“NIC”</th>
<th>NIC- β-CD “IC” (1:10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ug/ml)</td>
<td>0.167 ± 0.112</td>
<td>1.079* ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0-8\text{h}}$ (ug.h/ml)</td>
<td>0.352 ± 0.446</td>
<td>2.129* ± 0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative bio-availability (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>605.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Compared to administration of plain (NIC), the administration of β-CD inclusion complex increased $C_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0-8\text{h}}$ values of (NIC). As an example, the ratio of mean $\text{AUC}_{0-8\text{h}}$ between NIC-β-CD and pure (NIC) was 6.048. $T_{\text{max}}$ and MRT values of (NIC) were not affected by CD co-administration. From these results, it could be concluded that the administration of β-CD co-precipitate, very significantly improved the extent rather than the rate of drug absorption.

Savolainen et al., reported a significant increase in $C_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}$ of phenytoin while $T_{\text{max}}$ and MRT values were not affected after the oral administration of phenytoin-uflobutylether-β-CD in comparison to the plain drug. They attributed these results to the increase in solubility and dissolution rate of the drug due to the CD complex formation. However, as noted by Uekama et al., it is also possible that cyclodextrins may affect drug absorption through modification of the mucosal membrane. Free cyclodextrin may remove membrane components, thereby modifying the transport properties of the membrane and facilitating absorption of the drug.

### Conclusion

Although, both β-CD and HP-β-CD proved to be active in performing solid-state interaction i.e.amorization or reduction in nicardipine crystallinity, β-CD showed higher complexing and dissolution properties towards the drug than HP-β-CD. Moreover, in addition to the type of the (CD) employed, the selection of the most suitable preparation technique was a decisive factor to optimize the performance, and particularly the dissolution properties of the final solid product. NIC-β-CD inclusion complex, is markedly superior in improving the extent of drug absorption, compared to the plain nicardipine HCl.
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