PHYTOCHEMICAL INVESTIGATION OF WEDELIA PROSTRATA HOOK et ARN. (HEMSL.); II- THE ETHYL ACETATE SOLUBLE FRACTION OF THE METHANOL EXTRACT

S. F. Farag, N. A. El-Emary, M. A. Makboul, H. A. Hasanean and M. Niwa*

Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt
* Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University, Nagoya, Japan

باستقصاء المراجع المختلفة المتواترة عن نبات الوديليا بروستراتا هوك وارن (همسل) التابع للعائلة المركبة المنزرع في مصر لم يعثر على أي دراسة كيميانية أو بيولوجية لهذا النبات مما حث الباحثين على دراسة هذا النبات لمعرفة مكوناته الكيميانية وقد إشتملت هذه الدراسة على الأتى: تم فصل خمسة مركبات تفصل لأول مرة من جنس الوديليا وقد تم التعرف عليها بإستخدام التحليل الطيفي بإستخدام الأشعة دون الحمراء والفوق بنفسجية والرنين النووى المغناطيسي البروتوني والكربوني بأنواعهما المختلفة وكذلك مطياف الكتلة وقد ثبت أن هذه المواد هي:

٢- كوريوبسين.

۱- سلفيورين.

٤- حامض الكونيك-٣و٥-داى كافييول.

٣- ستيلوبسين.

٥- حامض الكونيك-٣و٤-داى كافييول.

كذلك تم أختبار خلاصة الميثانول للنبات كمثبط لإنزيم البروتبيز وقد أعطى نسبة تثبيط ٢٠٠٤٪

عند ترکیز حوالی ۱۰، مجم/ملیلتر. uble fraction of the MeOH extract of

Phytochemical investigation of the ethyl acetate soluble fraction of the MeOH extract of the powdered aerial parts of Wedelia prostrata resulted in the isolation and identification of sulfurein; coreopsin; stillopsin; 3,5-dicaffeoyl quinic acid and 3,4-dicaffeoyl quinic acid. The identification of the isolated compounds was established through the different methods of spectral analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The genus Wedelia (Compositae) comprises about 50 species which are distributed in all temperate regions. The plant Wedelia prostrata is an ornamental plant with long creeping stems giving descending roots and ascending flowering branches at the nodes¹⁻⁴.

Few species of Wedelia are toxic, 5-7 on the other hand many species have valuable biological activities. 8-13 However, nothing could be traced in the literature about the biological activity of the plant of this study.

The genus Wedelia is characterized by the presence of tetracyclic diterpenoids^{5,7,9,11} (Kaurenoic acid derivatives), sesquiterpene lactones (eudesmanolides),^{11,14} flavonoids and isoflavonoids.¹³

In a previous paper¹⁴ five sesquiterpene

lactones from the chloroform soluble fraction of the title plant were reported. The present work describes the isolation and identification of five compounds from the ethyl acetate soluble fraction as first report from the genus Wedelia.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant Material: The harvested aerial parts of Wedelia prostrata Hook. et Arn. (Hemsl.) were collected in March 1994 during the flowering stage from the Experimental Station of Medicinal Plants, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University. The identification of the plant was confirmed by Prof. Dr. Abdel-Aziz Fayed, Prof. of Plant Taxonomy, Faculty of Science, Assiut University. The plant parts were left for drying in the shade at room temperature and powdered. A voucher specimen has been deposited in the

Herbarium of the Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University.

General methods

Optical rotation was measured on a JASCO DIP-181 digital polarimeter at 25°. UV spectra were taken in CH₃OH with a JASCO UVIDEC 610 spectrometer and IR spectra with a JASCO FT/IR-5000 spectrometer. MS and HR-MS were obtained under FAB conditions with a JEOL DX-110 spectrometer. The ¹H-¹³C, two dimensional (2D) NMR (HH-, CH-COSY, HMQC and HMBC) and difference NOE spectra were measured with JEOL α -400 and α -600 spectrometer. For thin layer chromatography, precoated Kieselgel, K₂₅₄ (0.25 mm, E. Merck) using CHCl₃-MeOH-H₂O (6:4:0.5) and precoated plates of RP-18 WF₂₅₄ (0.25 mm thick, E. Merck) using CH₃CN-1% aqueous TFA (1:2) and CH₃CN-H₂O (2:3) were used. For column chromatography: silica gel (Katayama chemical, Osaka, K₂₃₀) and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia Biotech. AB, Upsala Sweden) were used. PTLC was carried out on precoated kieselgel, K₂₅₄ (0.5 mm thick, E. Merck) using EtOAc-EtOH-H₂O (4:1:0.5) and EtOAc-MeOH (9:1).

Extraction and fractionation

The powdered air dried aerial parts (3.8 kg) were extracted by maceration in MeOH at room temperature till exhaustion. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum to give 458.7 g. The MeOH extract residue was diluted with water and successively extracted with hexane, CHCl₃, EtOAc and BuOH. Each fraction was evaporated under vacuum till syrupy consistency and the fractions weighed 91.8, 60.4, 41 and 45 g respectively.

Isolation

About 5 g of the ethyl acetate soluble fraction were chromatographed on 150 g flash silica column using CHCl₃-MeOH gradients as eluent at flow rate 4 ml/min. Four groups were obtained: E-1 (239 mg) CHCl₃-MeOH (9:1), E-2 (400 mg) CHCl₃-MeOH (8:2), E-3 (1.8 g)

CHCl₃-MeOH (8:2) and E-4 (2.5 g) CHCl₃-MeOH (7:3-6:4). The major constituents were present in groups E-3 and E-4. About 600 mg of group E-3 were fractionated on sephadex LH-20 column chromatography using MeOH, to yield three fractions. Each fraction was separately purified by sephadex LH-20 column using MeOH followed by PTLC using EtOAc-MeOH (9:1) to yield pure compounds 1 (10 mg), 2 (45 mg) and 3 (50 mg). Group E-4 (1.2 g) was chromatographed on sephadex LH-20 column using MeOH to obtain 550 mg mixture of compounds 4 and 5. Isolation of compounds 4 and 5 was achieved by rechromatography on sephadex LH-20 using MeOH-H₂O (7:3) followed by PTLC using EtOAc-EtOH-H₂O (4:1:0.5) to obtain pure compounds 4 (48 mg) and 5 (30 mg). The detection of compounds 1-5 was carried out by using the color reactions in UV before and after ammonia solution.

Compound 1

Orange powder, TLC R_f 0.33, CH₃CN-H₂O (2:3). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -21° (MeOH; C= 0.85). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ) : 205 (31104), 255 (sh) (12960), 335 (sh) (13176), 400 (22896). IR (KBr): 3400, 1613, 890 cm⁻¹. FAB-MS m/z 431 [M+H]⁺ $C_{21}H_{18}O_{10}$. ¹HNMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz): δ 3.50 (3H, m, H-2, 3,5-Gluc), 3.63 (1H, m, H-4-Gluc), 3.72 (1H, dd, J = 6.96, 12.82 Hz, H-6 Gluc), 3.93 (1H, d, J = 11.72 Hz, H-6 Gluc), 5.11 (1H, d, J = 7.33 Hz, H-1 Gluc), 6.75 (1H, s, H-10), 6.84 (1H, d, J = 8.43 Hz, H-'5), 6.96(1H, dd, J = 1.83, 8.79 Hz, H-5), 7.09 (1H, d,J = 1.83 Hz, H-7, 7.27 (1H, dd, J = 1.83, 8.43) Hz, H-'6), 7.52 (1H, d, J = 1.47 Hz, H-'2), 7.69 (1H, d, J = 8.79 Hz, H-4). ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 150 MHz): δ 62.5 (t, C-6 Gluc), 71.2 (d, C-4 Gluc), 74.7 (d, C-2 Gluc), 77.8 (d, C-5 Gluc), 78.4 (d, C-3 Gluc), 100.7 (d, C-7), 101.7 (d, C-1 Gluc), 114.8 (d, C-5), 115.6 (d, C-10), 116.7 (d, C-'5), 117.2 (s, C-9), 119.1 (d, C-'2), 125.3 (s, C-'1), 126.4 (d, C-4), 126.7 (d, C-'6), 146.9 (s, C-'3), 147.5 (s, C-2), 149.8 (s, C-'4), 166.6 (s, C-8), 169.1 (s, C-6), 184.6 (s, C-3).

Compound 2

Orange powder, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -68° (MeOH; C= 1.2). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 211 (27602), 242 (sh) (10242), 293 (sh) (10416), 369 (sh) (30380), 387 (27776). IR (KBr): 3380, 1640, 880 cm⁻¹. FAB-MS m/z 435 [M+H]⁺ C₂₁H₂₂O₁₀. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD; 600 MHz): see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD; 150 MHz): see Table 1.

Compound 3

Orange powder, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -84° (MeOH; C= 1.79). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 211 (40275), 247 (sh) (18338), 267 (25313), 257 (15188), 317 (sh) (38588), 343 (37688). IR (KBr): 3400, 1640, 899 cm⁻¹. FAB-MS m/z 451 [M+H]⁺ C₂₁H₂₂O₁₁. ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆; 600 MHz): see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR (DMSO-d₆; 100 MHz): see Table 1.

Compound 4

White powder, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -81.7° (MeOH; C= 2.41). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 218 (42183), 233 (sh) (10643), 243 (sh) (10965), 300 (sh) (15319), 328 (20801). IR (KBr): 3300, 1740, 1686, 1603, 812 cm⁻¹. FAB-MS (glycerol) m/z: 515 [M-H]⁻ C₂₅H₂₄O₁₂. ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD; 600 MHz): see Table 2. ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD; 150 MHz): see Table 2.

Compound 5

White powder, $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 26.8^{\circ}$ (MeOH; C= 1.38). UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (ϵ): 218 (15480), 234 (sh) (10159), 245 (sh) (10643), 300 (sh) (14513), 328 (18383). IR (KBr): 3304,1740, 1698, 1603, 814 cm⁻¹. FAB-MS m/z: 515 [M-H]⁻ (C₂₅H₂₄O₁₂). ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD; 600/150 MHz): see Table 2.

Screening of antiviral activity

The MeOH extract of Wedelia prostrata was subjected to the screening for the inhibitory effect on human immunodeficiency virus type I (HIV-I) protease using analytical HPLC method, it showed moderate inhibition of the enzyme activity by 10.4% at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Compound 1

The molecular formula of 1 was deduced as $C_{21}H_{18}O_{10}$ from its FAB-MS which showed M⁺ at m/z 431 [M+H]⁺ and from its DEPT ¹³C-NMR which displayed signals corresponding to twenty one carbons represented by one CH₂, 12 CH and 8 quaternary carbons.

The ¹H-NMR (see exp.) of 1 showed a signal at δ 5.11 (1H, d, J= 7.33 Hz) assigned for a β -anomeric proton. The sugar was identified as β -D-glucopyranoside from comparison of its signals with those reported for β -D-glucopyranoside ¹⁶. Also the ¹H-NMR of 1 displayed a singlet at δ 6.75 (1H, s) for H-10 of aurones which is consistent with the ¹³C-NMR signal at δ 115.5 (d)¹⁷. Moreover the ¹H-NMR showed other signals (see exp.) corresponding to a disubstituted 3',4' B-ring and a monosubstituted A-ring.

The ¹³C-NMR signals of the aglycone part of 1 is in accordance with those reported for sulfuretin¹⁸ and to confirm this conclusion the HH-COSY, HMQC and HMBC (Table 3) of 1 were carried out and were compatible with this conclusion.

To confirm the position of attachment of the β -D-glucopyranoside structure at C-6 the difference NOE spectra were carried out and showed the following results: Irradiation of the signal at δ 5.11 (H-1 glucose) caused enhancement of the signal at δ 6.96 assigned for H-5 about 7.7% and also the signal at H-7 at δ 7.09 of about 7.4%. Consequently the attachment of the sugar was assigned to C-6 and 1 was identified as sulfuretin-6-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (sulfurein).

Compounds 2 and 3 were identified as chalcone monoglucosides where each of them displayed signals in the ${}^{1}H$ -NMR for two trans olefenic protons characteristic for H- α and H- β of chalcones 18 and one anomeric proton for β -D glucose (see Table 1).

A: Compound 2

The molecular formula of 2 was deduced from its FAB-MS as $C_{21}H_{22}O_{10}$ which showed M⁺ at m/z 435 [M+H]⁺ and was confirmed by its ¹³C- and DEPT ¹³C-NMR which showed signals

Compound 1

Gluc O
4
 15 16

Compound 2 R = HCompound 3 R = OH

Table 1: ¹³C-, ¹H-NMR spectral data of compounds 2 and 3.

	3° 13℃ of	Jo Der 84		aδ ¹C of			bδ ¹ C of		
ن س	compd. 2	compd. 3	I	compd. 2	M		2	X	
	[mdd]	[mdd]		[mdd]		[Hz]	[mdd]		[Hz]
	128.3(s)	126.2(s)	ŧ	1	ł	*		į	•
~	115.9(d)	115.9(d)	7	7.18	Ð	1.47	7.29	Þ	1.83
~	146.8(s)	145.2(s)	Ĭ	•	I	ı	ı	•	ì
4	150.1(s)	149.1(s)	1	f	ı	ŧ	ţ	ł	ì
\	116.6(d)	115.8(d)	l	6.81	7	8.06	6.80	7	8.06
9	123.9(d)	122.6(d)	9	7.09	qq	8.06, 1.47	7.21	pp	8.06, 1.83
8	118.1(d)	117.5(d)	8	7.52	ס	15.4	7.60	ק	15.38
₩	146.8(d)	145.7(d)	8	7.72	ט	15.4	7.66	ם	15.38
9,	193.8(s)	191.8(s)	ı	•	ŧ	•		ţ	f
	116.6(s)	113.7(s)	ţ	•	•		•	•	1
7,	165.0(s)	158.2(s)	,2-ОН	•	ı	I	13.06	brs	I
ζ,	•	103.5(d)	,3	6.59	Þ	2.2	6.65	S	j
,	166.7(s)	6	ł	ı	I	1	•	I	
,	_*	139.0(s)	,2	99.9	pp	8.8, 2.2	I	ı	ŧ
,,	132.9(d)	115.3(d)	9,	7.98	þ	8.	7.65	6 0	•
1-Gluc	•	100.7(d)		5.03	þ	7.33	4.91	7	7.33
2-Gluc	74.7(d)	73.2(d)	2-Gluc	3.50	E	ı	3.32	H	
3-Gluc	77.8(d)	75.7(d)	ı	3.50	E	1	3.32	H	ŀ
4-Gluc	71.1(d)	(p) L (q)	- 1	3.43	ש	9.53	3.16	E	ı
5-Gluc	78.2(d)	77.3(d)	5-Gluc	3.50	H	3	3.41	H	ŧ
6-Gluc	62.4(t)	60.6(t)	1	3.78	pp	5.86, 12.45	3.71	Þ	10.63
				3.90	qq	9.53, 12.45	3.46	m	•
				ì	l.	ì		1	1

a) measured in CD₃OD at 150/600 MHz. b) measured in DMSO-d₆ at 100/600 MHz.

Table 2: ¹³C- and ¹H-NMR spectral data of compounds 4 and 5 (CD₃OD; 150/600 MHz).

		δ ¹³ C of compd 4 [ppm]	δ ¹³ C of compd 5 [ppm]	H	δ ¹ H of compd 4 [ppm], J [Hz]	δ ¹ H of compd 5 [ppm], J [Hz]
1		76.1(s)	75.6(s)			
2		37.5(t)	38.1(t)	2	2.1 (1H,m)	2.07 (1H, m)
					2.27 (1H, m)	2.19 (1H, m)
3		74.2(d)	70.0(d)	3	5.38 (1H, ddd, J =	5.62 (1H, ddd, J =
			` ,		3.41, 3.41, 3.41)	3.42, 8.05, 7.08)
4		72.9(d)	74.6(d)	4	3.91 (1H, dd, J = 3.17,	5.18 (1H, dd, J = 2.93,
					9.76)	6.35)
5	5	72.5(d)	67.7(d)	5	5.5 (1H, ddd, J = 5.37,	4.13 (1H, m)
					9.76, 9.75)	
6	5	40.5(t)	38.1(t)	6	2.1 (1H, m)	2.07 (2H, m)
7	7	180.9(s)	181.8(s)	: 		••
•	1	168.9(s)	168.4(s)	-	_	_
"	2	115.6(d)	115.3(d)	'2	6.29 (1H, d, J = 16.12)	6.24 (1H, d, J = 16.12)
(3	3	146.8(d)	147.1(d)	' 3	7.57 (1H, d, J = 16.12)	7.50 (1H, d, $J = 16.12$)
4	4	127.9(s)	127.8(s)	_	_	-
44	5	115.2(d)	115.1(d)	' 5	7.07 (1H, d, J = 1.47)	7.01 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$)
"	5	146.8(s)	146.7(s)	-		_
"	7	149.5(s)	149.5(s)	_	-	
'8	3	116.5(d)	116.5(d)	'8	6.77 (1H, d, J = 8.06)	6.76 (1H, d, J = 8.79)
"	•	122.9(d)	123.2(d)	'9	6.94 (1H, dd, J = 8.06,	6.92 (1H, dd, J = 2.2,
					1.46)	8.79)
"	1	169.3(s)	168.4(s)	_	_	_
#14	2	116.0(d)	115.0(d)	"2		6.29 (1H, d, J = 16.12)
	3	146.9(d)	147.3(d)	"3	7.60 (1H, d, J = 16.12)	7.57 (1H, d, $J = 16.12$)
∦ "₄	Ì	128.1(s)	127.8(s)	_		_
":	5	115.2(d)	115.1(d)	" 5	7.05 (1H, d, J = 1.47)	7.05 (1H, d, $J = 2.20$)
"(6	146.7(s)	146.8(s)	_	-	-
11/	7	149.3(s)	149.6(s)	_		_
11	8	116.5(d)	116.5(d)	"8	6.77 (1H, d, J = 8.06)	6.73 (1H, d, J = 8.06)
"	9	122.9(d)	123.1(d)	"9	6.96 (1H, d, J = 8.06,	6.87 (1H, d, J = 2.2,
					1.46)	8.06)

Table 3: Significant correlations of aglycone of 1 from HMBC (CD₃OD; 400/100 MHz).

C	δ ¹³ C [ppm]	² J _{CH} correlated with H (δ ¹ H [ppm])	³ J _{CH} correlated with H (δ ¹ H [ppm])
2	147.5	10 (6.75)	
3	184.6		10 (6.75)
4	126.4		
5	114.8		7 (7.09)
6	169.1	7 (7.09)	
7	100.7		5 (6.96)
8	166.7	7 (7.09)	
9	117.2		5 (6.96), 7 (7.09)
10	115.5		'6(7.27), '2 (7.52)
1	125.3		'5 (6.84)
'2	119.1		10 (6.75), '6 (7.27)
'3	146.9	'2 (7.52)	'5 (6.84)
'4 '~	149.8		'6 (7.27), '2 (7.52)
)	116.7		
6	126.7		10 (6.75), '2 (7.52)

corresponding to twenty one carbons and revealing the presence of one CH₂, 13CH and 7 quaternary carbons. The nature of the glucose moiety was in accordance with a β -D-glucopyranoside structure from the pattern of the signal of ¹H-NMR for the anomeric proton and the ¹³C-NMR signals of glucose. ^{16,17} The proton of the sugar moiety was deduced to be at C-'4 from difference NOE spectra which were as follows: irradiation of H-1 glucose at δ 5.03 showed NOE at H-'3 (δ 6.59) about 5.5% and H-'5 (δ 6.66) about 2.9%.

The ¹³C-NMR data of the aglycone part of compound 2 are similar to those reported in literature¹⁹ for similar chalcone aglycones. The CH-COSY and HMBC spectra were recorded to confirm the structure. The HMBC correlations are listed in Table 4. Consequently compound 2 was identified as coreopsin.

B- Compound 3

The molecular formula of 3 was deduced from its FAB-MS which showed a peak at m/z 451 for $[M+H]^+$. Calculated for $C_{21}H_{22}O_{11}$. This formula was confirmed also by ^{13}C -NMR analysis (Table 1).

The nature of the β -glucose unit in 3 was deduced to be β -D-glucopyranoside structure from comparison of the 1 H-NMR and 13 C-NMR data with those reported in literature. 16,17 The

glucose moiety was located at C-'4 from the results of difference NOE spectra as follows: irradiation of the proton at δ 4.91 (H-1 glucose) showed NOE at δ 6.65 (H-3) about 16.2%.

The ¹³C-NMR data of the aglycone part of compound 3 are in agreement with that reported in literature¹⁹ for similar chalcones. Consequently compound 3 should to be identified as stillopsin. The HH-COSY, CH-COSY and HMBC data (Table 5) were taken to confirm this conclusion.

Compounds 4 and 5

The ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 4 (Table 2) (CD₃OD; 600 MHz) exhibited signals for quinic acid²⁰⁻²² appeared at: δ 5.51 (1H, ddd, J = 5.37, 9.76, 9.75 Hz), 5.38 (1H, ddd, J =3.41, 3.41, 3.41 Hz), 3.91 (1H, dd, J = 3.17, 9.76 Hz), 2.1 (3H, m) and 2.27 (1H. m). In addition to signals belonging to 2 caffeic acid moieties: $^{20-22}$ four trans olefinic protons at δ 7.60, 7.57, 6.39 and 6.29 (J = 16.12 Hz) and six aromatic protons at δ 7.07 (1H, J= 1.47) Hz), 7.05 (1H, J = 1.47 Hz), 6.96 (1H, dd, J =8.06, 1.46 Hz), 6.94 (1H, dd, J = 8.06, 1.46 Hz), 6.77 (2H, d, J= 8.06 Hz). ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 5 (CD₃OD; 600 MHz) exhibited the same pattern of compound 4 as shown in Table 2.

Table 4: Significant correlations of compound 2 from HMBC (CD₃OD; 600/100 MHz).

C	δ ¹³ C [ppm]	² J _{CH} correlated with H (δ ¹ H [ppm])	³ J _{CH} correlated with H (δ ¹ H [ppm])
1 2 3 4 5	128.3 115.9 146.8 150.1 116.6	2 (7.18)	5 (6.81) 6 (7.09) 5 (6.81) 2 (7.18), 6 (7.09)
6 α β 'β '1 '2 '3 '4	123.9 118.1 146.8 193.8 116.6 165.0 105.1	α (7.52)	2 (7.18), 6 (7.09) '6 (7.98) '6 (6.66), '3 (6.59) '6 (7.98)
'5 '6	166.7 109.3 132.9		'6 (7.98) '3 (6.59)

Table 5: Significant correlations of compound 3 from HMBC (DMSO-d₆; 400/100 MHz).

C	δ ¹³ C [ppm]	² J _{CH} correlated with H (δ ¹ H [ppm])	³ J _{CH} correlated with H (δ ¹ H [ppm])
1	126.2		5 (6.80), α (7.60)
2	115.9		6 (7.21)
3	145.2	2 (7.29)	5 (6.80)
4	149.1		6 (7.21), 2 (7.29)
5	115.8		
6	122.6		2 (7.29)
α	117.5		
В	145.7		6 (7.21), 2 (7.29)
'ß	191.8	α (7.60)	ß (7.66)
'1	113.7		'3 (6.65)
'2	158.2	'3 (6.65)	'6 (7.65)
'3	103.5		
'4	152.6	'3 (6.65)	'6 (7.65)
' 5	139.0	'6 (7.65)	'3 (6.65)
' 6	115.3		

¹³C-, DEPT ¹³C-NMR spectra of both compounds (CD₃OD; 150 MHz) showed signals corresponding to twenty five carbons for each of them, revealed by DEPT experiment as follows: two CH₂, 13CH, 10 quaternary carbons including two carbonyl ester carbons and one carboxylic carbon. Their chemical shifts are illustrated in Table 2.

IR spectra of compounds 4 and 5 showed characteristic absorption bands for hydroxyl, carboxylic, ester and aromatic groups: compound 4 ($\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr): 330-2500 (br), 1740, 1686, 1603 and 812 cm⁻¹). Compound 5 ($\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr): 3304-3500 (br), 1740, 1668, 1603 and 814 cm⁻¹).

FAB-MS of both compounds showed m/z at 515 [M-H]⁺, this molecular weight was consistent with the molecular formula $C_{25}H_{24}O_{12}$, consequently the number of double bond equivalents calculated for each compound is 14.

The full assignment of all signals in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 4 was performed by HMQC and HMBC experiments.

The signals of H-3, H-4 and H-5 of quinic acid moiety of compound 4 were assigned by HH-COSY spectral data (CD₃OD; 600 MHz) as follows: There are cross signals between the protons on carbons at δ 72.9, 72.5 and 74.2. Furthermore, the cross signals between the protons on the carbons at δ 72.5 and 40.5, in addition to the cross signal between the protons on carbons at δ 74.2 and 37.5. Consequently compound 4 should be identified as 3,5-dicaffeoyl quinic acid.

The HH-COSY spectrum of compound 5 (CD₃OD; 600 MHz) exhibited cross signals between the proton on carbons at δ 74.6, 70.0 and 67.7. Furthermore the cross signal between the protons on carbons at δ 67.7 and 38.1 in addition to the cross signal between the protons on carbons at δ 70.0 and 38.1. Also CH-COSY and HMBC spectra of compound 5 confirmed the structure to be 3,4-dicaffeoyl quinic acid, i.e. isomeric with compound 4.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Mr. K. Terashima and Miss. J. Ito, Faculty of Pharmacy, Meijo University, Nagoya, Japan for the mass spectra and NMR spectral analysis on Jeol α -400

spectrometers. We are thankful to Prof. Dr. M. Hattori and Mrs. A.S. Ahmed, Traditional Sino-Japanese Medicines, Toyama Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Toyama, Japan for the screening of MeOH extract of W. prostrata for the inhibitory effects on HIV-type I protease.

REFERENCES

- 1- L. Benson, "Plant Classification", 2nd. Ed., IBH. Publishing Co., Oxford, 295-302 (1976).
- 2- G. H. M. Lawrence, "Taxonomy of Vascular Plants", 2nd. Ed., IBH. Publishing Co., Oxford, 726-32 (1976).
- 3- E. L. Core, "Plant Taxonomy", Englwood, Cliffs, N.J. Prentice Hall, Inc., 421-28 (1962).
- 4- H-L. Li, T-S. Liu, T. C. Huang, T. Koyama and C. E. Devol, "Flora of Taiwan", Volume IV, Epoch Publishing Co., Ltd. Taipei, Taiwan, 958-61 (1978).
- 5- C. D. Schteingart and A. B. Pomilio, J. Nat. Prod., <u>47</u> (6), 1046 (1984).
- 6- P. B. Oelrichs, P. J. Vallely, J. K. Macleod and I. A. S. Lewis, J. Nat. Prod., 43 (3), 414 (1980).
- 7- J. V. Eichholzer, I. A. S. Lewis and J. K. Macleod, Tetrahedron, <u>37</u> (10), 1881 (1981).
- 8- L. M. Perry, "Medicinal Plants of East and Southeast Asia", Massachusetts and London, England, 101 (1980).
- 9- L. L. Yang, K.-Y. Yen, C. Konno, Y. Oshima, Y. Kiso and H. Hikino, Planta Med., (6), 499 (1986).
- 10- S. Gopalakrishnan, J. Sadique and T. Chandra, Fitoterapia, LX (5), 456 (1989).
- 11- C. Y. Ragasa, W. G. Padolina, B. F. Bowden, S. Li, D. M. Tapiolas and J. C. Coll, J. Nat. Prod., <u>56</u> (3), 386 (1993).
- 12- D. H. Miles, V. Chittawong, P. A. Hedin and U. Kokpol, Phytochemistry, 32 (6), 1427 (1993).
- 13- D. H. Miles, V. Chittawong and A. M. Payne, J. Agric. Food Chem., 38 (7), 1591 (1990).
- 14- S. F. Farag, N. A. El-Emary and M. Niwa, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 44 (4), 661 (1996).

- 15- N. Tsunueo, et. al, Shoyakugaku Zasshi, 46 (2), 190 (1992).
- 16- E. Breitmaier, W. Volter, "Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy", 3 rd Ed.; VCH Publishers, 1987.
- 17- J. B. Harborne "The Flavonoids: Advances in Research Since 1986", Chapman and Hall, 2-6 Boundary Row, London. SE 18 HN, 464 (1994).
- 18- J. B. Harborne and T. J. Mabry, "The Flavonoids: Advances in Research", Chapman and Hall Ltd, London, New York, 313-416 (1982).
- 19- P. K. Agrawal, "Carbon-¹³NMR of Flavonoids", Elsevier Science Publishing Co., Inc., New York, NY10010, U.S.A., 106 (1989).
- 20- A. Rumbero-Sanchez and P. Vazquez, Phytochemistry, 30 (1), 311 (1991).
- 21- I. Merfort, Phytochemistry, <u>31</u> (6), 2111 (1992).
- 22- M. N. Clifford, Phytochemistry, <u>25</u> (7), 1767 (1986).