PERFORMANCE OF CURCUMIN IN NANOSIZED CARRIERS NIOSOMES AND ETHOSOMES AS POTENTIAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR TOPICAL APPLICATION
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Curcumin (CUR) is one of the most commonly used herbal product; it shows effective anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant effects. However, poor aqueous solubility and low permeability are the major challenges in therapeutic application of curcumin. One class of vesicular nanocarriers called “Niosome and ethosome” which have proved to possess distinct advantages were used to encapsulate curcumin and evaluated for their morphology, particle size, zeta potential, entrapment efficiency (EE%) and drug release. They were incorporated into hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC15000) gel then, evaluated on the rat skin via inhibition of carrageenan induced rat paw edema. The results showed that the particle size of curcumin loaded niosomes and ethosomes were ranged (317.5± 1.91 to 558.3±8.587 nm) and (182.1± 5.3 to 354.5±30.03 nm), respectively. Skin permeation studies demonstrated that CUR permeability coefficient through rat kin for gel formulations of loaded vesicles was ~ four times higher as compared to free CUR. The in-vivo anti-inflammatory studies proved that gel formulations of CUR vesicles possessed higher significant inhibition of carrageenan induced rat paw edema when compared to pure curcumin. Accordingly, the results revealed that, curcumin loaded nanovesicles held great potential approaches as anti-inflammatory in topical application.

INTRODUCTION

Several natural products are widely used as medicines such as, quercetin, piperine, gingko and curcumin.

Curcumin (CUR), is unsaturated diketone (diferuloylmethane). It is one of the important active natural products that have been documented as medicinal agent. The medicinal efficacy of this product has been reported in Ayurveda (Indian system of medicine) for 6000 years1. It has wide range of potent biological and pharmacological actions, including anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antifungal, anti-carcinogenic and wound healing activities2.

The pharmacological efficacy and safety (up to 12 g/day) of curcumin make it a potential compound for treatment and prevention of different human diseases3. However, poor aqueous solubility (4-6 µg/ml) in acidic and neutral pH with rapid metabolism in the body remain the main challenges for its therapeutic application4. In addition, it is susceptible to degradation in alkaline medium and when exposed to light5. Hence, water solubility of formulation combined with controlled release ability were desired for therapeutic application of curcumin6. Several attempts and new strategies were investigated to overcome all these shortcomings. Such methods include solid dispersions4,
phospholipid complexes, microspheres, nanoemulsion and nanocrystals.

Self-assembly non-ionic surfactant-based vesicles (niosomes), have been widely used as drug nanocarriers. They are effective alternative to liposome due to their high stability, ease of preparation and low cost of surfactants which make them very attractive for several pharmaceutical and therapeutic applications. They have the potential to encapsulate both hydrophilic and lipophilic drug molecules because of the presence of both hydrophobic niosomal layer and hydrophilic core filled with water.

They are able to enhance aqueous solubility as well as permeability of encapsulated active products through skin membrane. Many drugs such as nimesulide and Diacerein, have been successfully encapsulated in niosomes for topical application.

An ethosome is a novel vesicular carrier developed by Touitou et al., that exhibits improved skin delivery of drugs and identified with safety profiles for in-vitro and in-vivo efficacy. Ethosomes shows attractive properties as vesicular systems. They are composed of bilayer (aqueous and lipid) showing affinity for both hydrophilic and lipophilic drug leading to increased bioavailability. The delivery of lipophilic biological active products could be achieved at the targeted site along with protection of natural product present in the core material of lipids. Ethosomes are meant to increase the transdermal permeability of loaded drug as compared to liposomes or the ethanolic drug solution. Higher concentration of ethanol (30–45%) is responsible for stearic stabilization, leading to deeper penetration of the loaded drug through the stratum corneum (SC) into the deeper skin layers with subsequent high transdermal flux.

Transdermal drug delivery system is considered as one of potential approach which can be used for active ingredient administration. It provides the controlled delivery of substance through. Further it could not only act on the topical skin, but also deliver drugs to the blood circulation through skin.

The first attempt to incorporate nanotechnology for curcumin was by Tonnesen where micellar solubilization of curcumin with a half-life of 2 months against hydrolytic reaction was observed.

Thangapazham et al. in another study demonstrated a better efficacy of nanofORMulated curcumin in comparison to free curcumin. Their study incorporated the agent in liposomes coated with prostate-specific antibodies and demonstrated enhanced targeted delivery of curcumin. Treatment of cells with liposomal curcumin resulted in inhibition of cellular proliferation without affecting their viability with ten-fold dose advantage over free curcumin.

Another report demonstrated oral delivery of curcumin and suggested that the in-vitro release of curcumin was predominantly by diffusion phenomenon. In-vivo pharmacokinetics revealed that curcumin entrapped NPs demonstrate at least 9-fold increase in oral bioavailability when compared to curcumin administered with piperine as absorption enhancer.

Choudhary et al. prepared curcumin liposomes for topical application. They showed that curcumin loaded liposomes provide localized permeation and deep penetration into the skin with higher encapsulation efficiency and better stability.

Another attempt was performed by Anwar et al.. They prepared CUR nanoparticles with Tween 80 as a permeation enhancer and solubilizing agent by the supercritical anti-solvent process.

Besides, CUR solid lipid nanoparticles for topical applications were prepared by Tiyaboonchai et al.. The obtained nanoparticles were stable and provided prolonged drug release. They investigated that SLNs could enhance CUR topical efficacy when compared with free CUR.

Rahman et al. formulated CUR niosomes using Span 60 and cholesterol to study the anti-inflammatory effect in rat model. They observed a better improvement in encapsulation efficiency (61%) and a big particle size niosome (1-5 µm).

Considering the above, the objective of this work was to investigate the performance of two different lipid-based vesicles (niosome and ethosome) as drug delivery systems of curcumin. Different formulations of CUR loaded niosomes and ethosomes were formulated, their vesicle size, morphological...
shape, encapsulation efficiency and *in-vitro* drug release were evaluated. The influence of different formulation variables on CUR entrapment efficiency was demonstrated. Further, the cytotoxicity effects of the selected niosome formulation of CUR will be conducted. The hydrogel formulation containing selected niosomes and ethosomes loaded with CUR were prepared to evaluate enhanced transdermal permeation through rat skin using *in-vitro* as well as *in-vivo* evaluations. Further, to indicate the efficacy of niosomes as a promising CUR nanocarrier, cytotoxicity study against hepatic tumor cells was performed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Curcumin (purity > 95%) was purchased from (SD Fine-Chem limited Mumbai India). Hydroxyl propyl methylcellulose (HPMC15000), cholesterol and carrageenan were purchased from (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA). Spectro/Por membranes (molecular weight cut-off 12–14 kDa) were purchased from Spectrum Medical Industries, Inc. (Laguna Hills, CA). Lecithin from soybean (AppliChem, Germany). Triton X-100 was purchased from (Park Scientific, Limited Northampton, UK). Chloroform, methanol, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, disodium hydrogen phosphate and sodium hydroxide were obtained from (United Company for Chem. and Med. Prep., Egypt)., Span® 20, 40, 60, 80, Tween® 20, 40, 60, 80 and propylene glycol were purchased from (Adwic, El-Naser chemical co., Egypt). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), MTT and trypan blue dye were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Mo., USA). HepG-2 cells (human Hepatocellular cancer cell line), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD). All chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received.

**Methods**

**Preparation of drug loaded niosomes**

Niosomes containing curcumin were prepared using the thin film hydration method with different mixtures of nonionic surfactant/cholesterol with molar ratios of 1:1, 1:2 and 2:1. The composition of prepared niosomes vesicles are presented in table 1. In brief, 500 µmol of surfactants/cholesterol with drug were dissolved in chloroform : methanol (2:1 v/v) mixture, in a round-bottom flask. The organic solvents were evaporated under vacuum at 60°C using rotary evaporator (Buchi 200, BU® CHI Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland). The resulting thin lipid film produced hydrated with water, phosphate buffer (pH 5.5, 6.5 and 7.4) at 60°C for 1 hr21&22. The dispersion was left at 4°C for further study.

**Table 1:** Composition of different niosome formulations containing CUR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>N1</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>N3</th>
<th>N4</th>
<th>N5</th>
<th>N6</th>
<th>N7</th>
<th>N8</th>
<th>N9</th>
<th>N10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curcumin (mg)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (µ mol)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span 20 (µ mol)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span 40 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span 60 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span 80 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 20 (µ mol)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 40 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 60 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 80 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMS (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrj 52 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brij 35 (µ mol)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niosome formation</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CUR, curcumin, F, formed; NF, not formed.
Preparation of drug loaded ethosomes
Curcumin ethosomes were prepared using ethanol injection method. In brief, lecithin, cholesterol and curcumin were dissolved in anhydrous ethanol in a sealed glass container. The solution was stirred on magnetic stirrer (Gallenkamp, Loughborough, UK) at 30°C for 15 min. Distilled water was added gradually at a constant rate of 100 µl/min. The ethosomes dispersion was left at 4°C for further study.

Characterization of vesicular nano-carriers formulations
1- Microscopic examination
Small amount of the prepared CUR niosomes and ethosomes was spread on a glass slide and examined microscopically at magnification of 1000x using a binocular microscope equipped with a camera (Motic, Japan).

2- Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
The niosomal dispersion of CUR was diluted 10-folds using distilled water. A drop of diluted CUR vesicle dispersion was applied to a carbon coated 300 mesh copper grid and left for 1 min to allow some of vesicles to adhere to the carbon substrate. Excess dispersion was removed by a piece of filter paper followed by rinsing the grid twice in deionized water for 3-5 s. Next, a drop of 2% aqueous solution of uranyl acetate was applied for 1 s. The remaining solution was removed by absorbing the liquid with the tip of a piece of filter paper and the sample was air dried. Afterward, the sample was viewed under the microscope at 10–100 k magnification power using an accelerating voltage of 100 kV using the JEOL TEM (Model 100 CX II; Tokyo, Japan).

3- Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and Zeta-Potential measurements
The mean hydrodynamic diameters and size distribution (polydispersity indices, PDIs) of some CUR niosomes and ethosomes in water were measured using a Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) equipped with a backscattered light detector operating at 173°. The CONTIN program was used to extract size distributions from the autocorrelation functions. The zeta-potential values were determined by laser Doppler anemometry using a Malvern Zetasizer Nanoseries ZS.

4- Encapsulation efficiency (EE%)
Niosomes and ethosomes containing CUR were separated from un-entrapped drug by cooling centrifugation at 14000 rpm (Centurion Scientific Ltd., W. Sussex, U.K) for 60 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, the formed niosomal and ethosomal pellets were washed and recentrifuged the supernatant was removed carefully to separate the non-encapsulated curcumin. One milliliter of the supernatant was diluted to 10 ml with methanol, and the amount of drug was determined spectrophotometrically at λₘₐₓ 430 nm (Jenway Model 6305, U.K).

The entrapment efficiency was calculated by the following equation.

\[
EE(\%) = \frac{(T-C)}{T} \times 100
\]

Where \(T\) is the total amount of CUR added, and \(C\) is the amount of CUR in the supernatant. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Preparation of CUR gel formulations
The selected curcumin-loaded niosomes and ethosomes (equivalent to 0.5% w/w drug) were incorporated into gel base (3.0% HPMC 15000). Gels containing free curcumin was prepared by incorporated of CUR solution into gel by stirring until a homogenous niosomal and ethosomal gel formulations were obtained.

In-vitro drug release through cellophane membrane
In-vitro drug release of CUR from niosomes and ethosomes was investigated using cellophane membrane dialysis tubing. In brief, 1 ml sample of niosome and ethosome was placed on a semipermeable cellophane membrane formerly immersed in phosphate buffer of pH 5.5 for 24 hrs then was struggling over the lower open end of a glass tube made watertight by a rubber band. Tubes was suspended in a beaker (receptor compartment) containing 100 ml of the release medium composed of phosphate buffer pH 5.5 and Tween® 80 (0.5%) to maintain sink condition. The system was maintained for 24 hrs at 37°C in a thermostatically controlled shaker water bath at 50 rpm (Gesellschaft Labor Technik M.B.H.&Co., GFL, Germany). Samples of 5 ml were withdrawn at intervals of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6,
8, 12, and 24 h. The volume of each withdrawn sample was replaced by the same volume of same dissolution medium maintained at the same temperature to keep constant volume and maintain sink condition. Also, the release of CUR selected gel formulations was determined. Samples were analyzed for curcumin content spectrophotometrically at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 430 nm against blank similarly treated. Each experiment was repeated in triplicate.

**Kinetic release study**

The data obtained from the *in-vitro* release studies was analyzed using linear regression method ($r^2$). The release data analysis was studied according to zero order kinetic model, Higuchi diffusion model and Korsmeyer-Peppas model).

**Zero order kinetics**

$$Q = k_0 t^{25}$$

Where $Q$ is the drug released at time $t$. $k_0$ is the zero order release constant. $t$ is the time.

**Higuchi model**

$$Q = k_H t^{1/2}^{26}$$

Where $Q$ is the amount of drug released at time $t$ per unit area. $k_H$ is the Higuchi release rate constant.

**Korsmeyer-Peppas equation**

$$M_t/M_\infty = k t^n^{27}$$

Where $M_t/M_\infty$ is the fraction of drug released at time $t$. $n$ is the release exponent.

$n$ value is indicative for the drug release mechanism where $n \leq 0.5$ indicates a fickian diffusion mechanism, while $0.5 < n < 1$ indicates a non-fickian mechanism (anomalous diffusion). If $n = 1$, it indicates a zero order mechanism (case II relaxation). In case of $n > 1$, it indicates a super case II transport.

Anomalous diffusion or non-fickian diffusion refers to combination of both diffusion and erosion controlled release rate while case II relaxation and super case II transport refer to erosion of the polymeric matrix.

**Ex-vivo permeability and skin deposition studies**

1- **Ex-vivo permeability**

*Ex-vivo* permeability of CUR through rat hairless skin was studied using optimum samples of CUR niosomes, ethosomes and gel formulations. Male Wister rats weighing 200–250 g were used in this study. Experimental procedures were in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Ethics Committee of Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Egypt (Approval no. S8-19/2019). Rats were sacrificed immediately before the start of the experiment. A full thickness skin was excised from abdominal site then was washed with water. The skin was washed with phosphate buffer (pH 5.5). The skin samples were firmly stretched using rubber bands over one end of glass tubes opened from both sides and having an internal diameter of 2.4 cm. The stratum corneum was facing upwards (donor side) and the dermal surface was facing downwards and allowed to be in contact with receptor compartment. The tubes were immersed in a beaker containing 100 mL of phosphate buffer pH 5.5 (receptor compartment). The beakers were placed into a shaking water bath maintained at 37±0.5°C and 50 rpm. Samples of 5 mL were withdrawn from the receptor compartment at time intervals of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 h. and were replaced by the same volume of fresh phosphate buffer. Samples were analyzed for CUR content spectrophotometrically at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 430 nm against a similarly treated blank. These experiments were done in triplicates and the mean ± SD were calculated.

The cumulative percent of curcumin, which permeated to the rat skin, was plotted against time. The transdermal drug flux was calculated from the slope of the linear portion of the plot.

2- **Calculation of apparent cur permeability coefficients ($P_{\text{app}}$)**

The apparent permeability coefficients ($P_{\text{app}}$, cm/s) of CUR were calculated using Eq. (2):

$$P_{\text{app}} = \frac{1}{A C_0} \times \frac{dQ}{dt} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (2)$$

where $dQ/dt$ is the rate of appearance of CUR in the receptor compartment (nmol/s), A is the surface area of the skin, and $C_0$ is the initial CUR concentration (nM) in the tested sample at $t = 0$. Permeability rates ($dQ/dt$) were
obtained from the permeation profiles of each tested sample. The regression coefficients ($r^2$) obtained from the curve were generally between 0.80 and 0.99.

3- Drug retention studies in skin
This study was investigated to determine the amount of CUR deposited in the skin. In brief, after completion of the skin permeation experiment (6 hrs), the side of the skin exposed to donor compartment (containing formulation) was washed with phosphate buffer pH 5.5, to remove any adhered remaining drug from the skin surface. The skin was then cut into small pieces and shaken in 50% methanol (10 ml) for 24 hrs to extract CUR into the medium. At the end of 24 hrs the medium was filtered and analyzed spectrophotometric at $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 430 nm for CUR content.

**In-vivo anti-inflammatory study (carrageenan paw edema inflammation model)**

**In-vivo** anti-inflammatory activity of CUR selected formulations was performed based on the inhibition of the hind paw edema in rats. Experimental procedures were in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Ethics Committee of Faculty of Pharmacy Assiut University, Egypt.

Male albino twenty-five rats weighing about 200 g were used. All the rats were kept under standard laboratory conditions at temperature of 25°C and relative humidity of 55%. The rats were housed in polypropylene cages (five rats per cage) with free access to a standard laboratory diet (lipton feed) and water ad libitum. Thirty minutes before the application of each formulation, the thickness of the hind paws was measured by means of a Vernier Caliper (SMEC, China). 1% w/v carrageenan solution in normal saline was injected subcutaneously into the right hind paw to induce inflammation. The animals were divided into five groups, each group comprised of five animals. The animals of group 1 received only carrageenan (control), groups 2 and 3 received HPMC 15000 gel containing CUR entrapped niosome (N3 G), and CUR ethosomes (E9 G), respectively. Group 4 received CUR (free drug) HPMC 15000 gel and group 5 received anti-inflammatory commercial drug (Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory ketoprofen: Fastum® gel.). After half an hour, 0.5 g of each gel was applied to the same right hind paw (except the control group). The increase in paw thickness was measured before carrageenan injection and immediately after carrageenan injection (zero time) and then every hour for up to 8 hrs using a Vernier Caliper. The percentage swelling of the paw of edema was calculated by employing the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Swelling} = \left( \frac{V_i - V_t}{V_i} \right) \times 100 \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (1)$$

Where: $V_t$ is the paw thickness at each time interval, and $V_i$ the initial paw thickness before carrageenan injection. The average paw swelling in CUR treated rats were compared with that of control rats (which received placebo) and the percent inhibition of paw edema was estimates using the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Inhibition} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\% \text{ swelling treated}}{\% \text{ swelling control}} \right) \right] \times 100 \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (4)$$

Where swelling treated is the mean value observed in the treated group, and swelling control is the mean value observed in the control group.

**Stability studies**

Stability studies were carried out for the selected formulations of two types of vesicles (niosomes and ethosomes). They were kept at room temperature for three months and in refrigerator for six months. Physical evaluation of the samples stability was carried out by visual inspection, determination of mean vesicle size and size distribution. Further, chemical stability was evaluated by determination of encapsulation efficiency by spectrophotometer and drug degradation by thin layer chromatography (TLC).

**Thin layer chromatography (TLC)**

Stability of CUR in the selected niosomal (N3) and ethosomal (E9) dispersions was studied by TLC. Also, stability of free CUR in aqueous suspension, alcoholic and hydroalcoholic solution was studied under the same conditions. Triton (10%) was added to the lipid vesicles before spotting on the TLC for 1 min to allow for the lysis of niosomes and
ethosomes and release of the entrapped drugs to be available for detection. TLC analyses were performed on 7 cm × 20 cm aluminum plates coated with 0.2 mm layer of silica gel (60 F254). Samples were loaded onto the plate 10 mm from the bottom and 10 mm from the side edges of the plate with a band length of 4 mm. Samples were spotted using linomat V semiautomatic spotting device under continuous drying stream of nitrogen gas. Linear ascending development with chloroform: methanol (75:5 v/v) as a mobile phase was performed in a glass chamber previously saturated with the mobile phase for 15 min at room temperature (25±1°C). The optimized development distance and development time were 60 mm and 10 min, respectively. After development, the plates were dried completely and the spots were scanned densitometrically by Camag TLC scanner III (Camag, Muttenz, Switzerland). The TLC scanner was adjusted at a wavelength of λ max 430 nm. All measurements were analyzed by winCATS software. Retention factor (Rf) values, concentrations of the separated compounds and appearance of new spots were determined. All measurements were performed in triplicates.

Statistical analysis
The differences between the mean values were analyzed using Graph Pad Prism software version 5. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the differences between experimental groups. A probability of less than 0.05 (p< 0.05) was considered statistically significant. All experiments were done in triplicates and the results are presented as mean ± SD.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of CUR niosomes
CUR is a natural product has various medical benefits. One of the challenges is to enhance the water solubility of drug at site of action and increase the permeation of the drug to the systemic circulation. CUR-loaded niosomes composed of 500 µmol total lipids were prepared by classical thin film hydration method using different ratios (1:1, 1:2 and 2:1) of cholesterol (CH) and non-ionic surfactants (NIS). Equal molarity of non-ionic surfactants and cholesterol was selected for further preparations. This may improve bilayer compactness and enhance the entrapment efficiency29. The niosome formation ability of the studied surfactants is summarized in table 1.

Characterization of CUR niosomes
1- Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
The presence of vesicles in niosomal dispersion was indicated by observing the niosomal dispersion after 24 hrs using an optical microscope. The lipid vesicles are unilamellar and spherical. This indicated by TEM (Fig. 1). These results were previously obtained in niosomal vesicles of carvedilol30.

![Fig. 1: Transmission electron microscopy micrograph of curcumin niosomes.](image)

2- Particle size and Zeta potential measurements
The particle size, PDI and zeta potential of CUR freshly prepared niosomes are illustrated in table 2. The size of vesicles in the range of 317.5±1.91 to 558.3±8.587 nm with PDI ranging from 0.426±0.024 to 0.599±0.106 indicating a relatively uniform homogenous particle size distribution30. The prepared niosomes of Span60-Tween 60 show the lowest value of PDI as 0.426±0.024 which indicates a narrow distribution of niosomes size. Also, niosomes of Span 60 –Tween 60 shows particle size smaller than that obtained from other surfactants. The zeta potential values for the prepared curcumin loaded niosomes are presented in table 2. It is noticed that CUR
niosomes exhibits higher negative ZP values (-39.1±0.666 mV and -29.0±2.15 mV for N3 and N5, respectively), indicating higher stability. The prepared niosomes in this study showed smaller particle size as compared with previous work\(^{18}\). The authors found that CUR loaded niosomes have large particle size CUR (1-5 µm).

**Effect of different parameters on CUR niosomes encapsulation efficiency**

1- **Effect of surfactant structure**

As shown in table 2, the rank order of encapsulation efficiency using different non-ionic surfactants is in the following order N3 (Span\(^®\) 60-Tween\(^®\) 60) > N2 (Span\(^®\) 40-Tween\(^®\) 40) > N5 (GMS-Tween\(^®\) 40) > N8 (Span\(^®\) 60-Tween\(^®\) 80) > N1 (Span\(^®\) 20-Tween\(^®\) 20). It is noticed that niosome of Span\(^®\) 60-Tween 60 (N3) has significantly higher entrapment efficiency (89%) than other mixtures (p<0.05). This is due to the surfactant chemical structure and can be explained by numerous facts: a) Span\(^®\) 60 and Tween\(^®\) 60 have relatively long and saturated paraffin chain (stearyl C18), b) The large hydrophilic head group of Tween 60 (polyoxyethylene groups) which could solubilize CUR due to the presence of phenolic groups in CUR structure, suggesting the formation of hydrogen bond\(^{31}\).

Another notable finding is that niosomes prepared using Tween 60 and 40 show higher EE% compared to those prepared using Tween\(^®\) 80 (POE (20) sorbitan monooleate), this may be attributed to the presence of unsaturated double bond in paraffin chain of Tween 80 and the introduction of double bonds made the chains bend, that may lead to the formation of a leaky and permeable niosomal membrane\(^{32}\). On the other hand, Brij\(^®\) 35 (lauryl C12 chain surfactants) and Myrij\(^®\) 52 (polyoxyethylen 40 stearate) could not form lipid vesicle by thin film hydration. This may be because of the smaller critical packing parameter (CPP) and higher HLB values (16 and 16.9 for Brij\(^®\) 35 and Myrij\(^®\) 52, respectively) which indicates low lipophilicity in comparison with hydrophilic surface area of these surfactant molecules\(^{33}\).

2- **Effect of CUR concentration**

The effect of increasing CUR concentration on encapsulation efficiency EE% in the selected niosomal formation (N3) is presented in figure 2. The niosomes of 5,10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 100 mg CUR were performed of 500 µmole total amount of lipid. The results depict that, the encapsulation increases (from 43% to 89%) on increasing the drug concentration (from 5 to 40 mg/10 ml), respectively. However, from the statistical analysis on view, it is found that, the differences between encapsulation efficiency on increasing drug amount from 5 to 40 mg in the formulation are significant (p<0.05). This may be due to the saturation of the hydration medium with curcumin which forces the drug to be entrapped into lipid vesicles.

![Fig. 2: Effect of CUR loading on niosomal encapsulation efficiency. All results are expressed in mean ± SD (n=3).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>Zeta potential (mV)</th>
<th>EE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>558.3 ± 8.58</td>
<td>0.599 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-26.4 ± 0.15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>420.21 ± 10.32</td>
<td>0.543 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-22.7 ± 0.29</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>317.5 ± 1.91</td>
<td>0.426 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-39.1 ± 0.66</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td>373.0 ± 16.38</td>
<td>0.596 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-29.0 ± 2.15</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8</td>
<td>382.9 ± 7.75</td>
<td>0.491 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-24.2 ± 2.15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank of N3</td>
<td>776.7 ± 8.18</td>
<td>0.573 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-22.7 ± 0.29</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CUR, curcumin, SD, standard deviation, EE, encapsulation efficiency, PDI, polydispersity index.
Preparation of CUR ethosomes

Ethosomes are vesicular systems with hydrated lipid bilayers. The composition of different ethosomal formulations is summarized in table 3. Formulations containing different lecithin percentage and different drug amounts to determine the effect on the particle size, entrapment efficiency and drug release. Cholesterol (20 mg) was added to increase the rigidity of membrane bilayer and stability of ethosomal vesicles. The results revealed that, the ethosomal formulations that have more than 40 mg CUR were not formed and drug precipitation was observed during preparation. This might be due to limited CUR solubility and saturation of lipid bilayer of ethosmes above this amount of drug.

Size, Shape and Zeta potential of CUR ethosomes

Figure 3 shows the unilamellar spherical and regular vesicles. Ethosomes show a narrow particle size distribution (from 0.427±0.091 to 0.540±0.048) (Table 4). The size of plain ethosome is 110.77±0.81 and it is significantly increased by increasing the drug loading (p<0.05). These results are in agreement with the previously reported for paclitaxel ethosomes\(^\text{32}\) and explained by incorporation the drug into the lipid bilayer and this might lead to enlargement of lipid bilayer to accommodate the entrapped drug. The results in table 4 show that, minimum vesicle size is 182.1±5.3 nm (E1) whereas maximum is 354.5±30.03 nm (E9), it is evident that, the size of the vesicles is dependent on the concentration of soya lecithin which increased on increasing concentrations of soya lecithin from 1–3% w/v.

Zeta-potential of CUR ethosomes is ranging from -43±1.6 to -64.32±2.6 (Table 4). This negative charge may be attributed to the effect of lecithin (phospholipids) and ethanol\(^\text{34}\). Formulation E9 has significantly highest negative zeta potential (p<0.05) which may predict good stability without any signs of aggregation and precipitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Drug (mg)</th>
<th>Lecithin %</th>
<th>Cholesterol (mg)</th>
<th>Ethanol (ml)</th>
<th>Water (ml)</th>
<th>EE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80.34 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>82.34 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>84.87 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>85.87 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>86.54 ± 2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>89.54 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>90.53 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>91.67 ± 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>94.56 ± 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45.23 ± 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.1 ± 2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45 ± 2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PPT-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PPT-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PPT-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Particle size, polydispersity index, zeta potential and encapsulation efficiency of some CUR ethosome formulations. Each result is the mean value ± SD (n= 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>Zetapotential (mV)</th>
<th>EE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>182.1 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.487 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-43 ± 1.6</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>201.5 ± 12.32</td>
<td>0.427 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-44 ± 2.6</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>262.3 ± 8.640</td>
<td>0.540 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-49 ± 2.6</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>301.3 ± 15.62</td>
<td>0.523 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-50.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9</td>
<td>354.5 ± 30.1</td>
<td>0.444 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-64.32 ± 2.6</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Encapsulation efficiency of CUR ethosomes

Table 3 shows the encapsulation efficiency of CUR loaded ethosomes, it is clear that, the CUR EE% is increased with increasing concentration of lecithin as well as drug loading. Since, increasing lecithin concentration from 1 to 3% and drug from 10 to 30 mg resulted in increasing of the drug encapsulation efficiency from 80.34±0.54 to 94.56±1.90% (E1 and E9, respectively). This enhancing effect of lecithin on the drug entrapment efficiency may be due to the hydrophobicity of the drug, which facilitates hydrophobic interactions with the vesicle membranes and thus, enhance drug encapsulation efficiency. Also the increase in the concentration of lecithin may lead to the formation multilayered vesicles which in turn results in improving encapsulation of CUR in the formed layer due to the hydrophobic nature of CUR. These data are in agreement with previous findings.

In-vitro release studies of CUR niosomes and ethosomes

Figure 4 reveals that, the percent drug release is significantly higher for niosomal formulations (p< 0.05) compared to the free CUR. These results confirmed the solubilizing effect of niosomes which gives rise to the improvement of drug release. However this is significant differences in the in-vitro release profiles (p< 0.05) may be attributed to other factors such as size of niosomal vesicles and membrane fluidity that depends on alkyl chain length of surfactant. On the other hand, CUR niosome formulation containing (Span 60-Tween 60 -N3) exhibited the highest drug release (85%), which may be due to the hydrophilic nature of Tween 60, since, as the length of the hydrophilic chain increases; this forms a looser bilayer in the niosomal structure and improves the drug release. The lowest percent of drug release was obtained from niosomes containing GMS (N5), this may be due to the higher transition temperature (65°C) of GMS.
Fig. 4: *In-vitro* release of niosome formulations containing CUR expressed as percent cumulative drug after 24 hrs in phosphate buffer pH (5.5). All results are expressed in mean ± SD (n = 3).

With regard to the release profiles of CUR ethosomes are shown in figure 5. It is obvious that, hydro-alcoholic solution of pure curcumin exhibits significantly higher release rate compared to ethosomal formulations (p< 0.05), (most of the drug is released in 2-4 hrs 78.48±0.712523 - 92.200±0.18, respectively), also it is evident that the release rate of CUR from ethosomes is slower than from hydro-alcoholic solution. An initial burst release is observed in the release profile of CUR ethosomes that explained by the diffusion of dissolved drug initially adsorbed on the bilayer surface of the ethosomes. It is noticed that percent of drug release from ethosome vesicles increased by increasing drug loading from 10 to 30 mg (E3 and E9) and decreasing concentration of lecithin.

Considering the higher EE% with optimum release profiles from the point of view, CUR niosome N3 and ethosome E3 and E9 were selected for further *ex-vivo* permeability studies.

Fig. 5: *In-vitro* release of ethosomal formulations and hydroalcoholic solution containing CUR expressed as percent cumulative drug after 24 hrs in phosphate buffer pH (5.5). All results are expressed in mean ± SD (n= 3).

**Drug release kinetics**

Release kinetic models are shown in table 5. As this table indicates the correlation coefficient of release data in accordance with Higuchi diffusion release which is higher than other models. In order to better characterize the drug release behavior, Korsmeyer-Peppas model was applied to the linear portion of the curve. The (n) value is found to be ≥ 0.58 (Table 5) suggesting anomalous (non-Fickian) diffusion. This may indicate that the drug release CUR niosomes and ethosomes is controlled by coupling of diffusion and erosion mechanism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Zero order</th>
<th>Higuchi</th>
<th>Korsmeyer-Peppas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>Kₜ (mg ml⁻¹ hr⁻¹)</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>0.9449</td>
<td>7.8647</td>
<td>0.9895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>3.034</td>
<td>0.9676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>8.931</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E9</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5**: Kinetic analysis of *in-vitro* release data of CUR from some bilayer vesicles.
Ex-vivo skin permeation and penetration studies

Figures 6 and 7 show the permeability profile of CUR loaded into different niosomes and ethosomes and their gel formulations through rat skin. The cumulative amount of CUR found in the receptor compartment is increased over time for all the tested samples.

Fig. 6: Cumulative amount of CUR permeated across rat skin CUR (N3), CUR ethosomes (E3 and 9) and CUR suspension. Each value represents the mean ± SD (n= 3).

Fig. 7: Cumulative amount of CUR permeated rat skin for, CUR niosomal gel (N3 G), CUR ethosomal gel (E9 G), CUR free gel and CUR free suspension. Each value represents the mean ± SD (n= 3).

For CUR niosomes, figure 6 shows that the skin permeation of CUR niosomal dispersion (N3) is greater than that from free curcumin suspension. This may be because of the reduction of particle size (nanoscale) and improvement of CUR aqueous solubility which consequently results in enhancing permeability through the skin. On the other hand, the lower permeability of free CUR is due to the penetration from the control curcumin solution which was also influenced by the small amount of propylene glycol used as a co-solvent and not of the pure drug as such, which is completely insoluble in water. The significant higher curcumin flux (p< 0.05) that penetrate to the skin is due to the advantages of niosomes in transdermal delivery which can entrap drug inside them and penetrate through the skin.8

In case of CUR ethosomes (Fig. 7), it is noticed that, the cumulative of CUR permeated after 6 hrs increased by a factor of 3.3 and 3.0 for ethosome E9 and E3, respectively when compared to CUR alone.

The improved permeation flux of ethosomes compared with niosomes and control formulations may be attributed to the solvent effect of ethanol on skin lipids followed by skin permeation of ethosomes.8 Even though ethosomal formulation comes into contact with skin lipids, ethanol present in the formulations partially fluidizes the intercellular lipids and consequently increases the permeation flux, cumulative drug permeated as well as drug deposition in the skin.40 It is also observed that the lag time for all formulations is between 30 to 40 min.

Furthermore, the permeability coefficient (P_app) values of CUR of different formulations, it is clear that, (P_app) values of ethosome formulations are significantly higher than that obtained from free CUR gel (p< 0.05). The same observations were previously obtained by Rachmawati et al.41

Table 6 depicts the permeability coefficient (P_app) values of CUR of different formulations, it is clear that, (P_app) values of ethosome formulations are significantly higher than that of either CUR niosome formulations or CUR alone (p< 0.05). Also table 6 shows that, there is no significant difference between the drug permeability coefficient (P_app) of CUR ethosome E9 and E3 (p< 0.05).

Furthermore, the permeability coefficient values of CUR ethosome and CUR niosome gel formulations are found to be 3.2, 3.0 times higher than that of CUR free suspension, respectively. In view of this study, the gel containing niosomes (N3) and ethosomes (E9) loaded with CUR were selected to study the in-vivo anti-inflammatory effect of CUR.
Table 6: Apparent permeability coefficient and permeability enhancement ratio of CUR loaded into different formulations. Each value represents the mean ± SD (n= 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$P_{app}(\text{cm/sec}) \times 10^6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUR free (control)</td>
<td>2.783 ± 1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUR niosome (N3)</td>
<td>5.321 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUR gel niosome (NG)</td>
<td>8.364 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUR ethosome (E3)</td>
<td>7.794 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUR ethosome (E9)</td>
<td>7.953 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUR ethosme gel (EG)</td>
<td>8.688 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>free CUR gel</td>
<td>4.501 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1- Skin retention studies of CUR niosomes and ethosomes gel formulations

Figure 8 illustrates that CUR niosomes, as well as ethosomes, gives rise to the highest amount of CUR retained or deposited in SC (120.6±10.31 µg/cm²) for niosomes and (80.5±5.80 µg/cm²) for ethosome among other formulations. Such finding revealed that niosomes and ethosomes are suitable for the penetration into the skin and localized as a depot, while gel system of lipid vesicles can deliver more drug to receiving compartment which represents for blood vessels. Therefore, it is worthy to note that, the gel containing niosomes and ethosomes loaded with CUR appeared to be the most appropriate system for a topical anti-inflammatory application. Since they provide improved transdermal penetration and percutaneous absorption of total CUR into the skin.

Fig. 8: The Amount of CUR retained in SC after 24 hrs of incubation with rat skin after applying different formulations. Each value represents the mean ± SD (n= 3).

In-vivo anti-inflammatory effects of different curcumin formulations

Carrageenan-induced paw edema was investigated to evaluate the anti-inflammatory effect of gel formulations containing selected curcumin loaded lipid vesicles (ethosome and niosomes E9, N3). Gel containing free CUR was used as control.

Mean percent inhibition in paw edema of a control group and formulations treated groups of rats is presented in table 7. In the control group (Group 1), a rapid and continuous increase in paw volume (edema) was observed and the inflammation was sustained during the entire period of 8 hrs of the study. The anti-inflammatory activity of curcumin-loaded lipid vesicles gel formulations of both niosome and ethosome was maintained for a longer period. They act as skin-depot forming potential delivery of CUR with high concentration at target site and enhanced permeation of (act as penetration enhancers). In addition, the results in table 7 reveal that there is statistically significant inhibition of paw edema in rats with selected ethosomal and niosomal gel formulations in as compared with free CUR gel ($p< 0.05$). After 3 hrs the percentage inhibition of paw edema by selected ethosome gel (88.5±6%), CUR niosome gel (66.66±0.04) are approximately three times and two times higher than free CUR (30.45±3.5%), respectively. Above results are supplemented by skin permeation study, which indicates that small vesicle size facilitated CUR to penetrate the stratum corneum (SC) and provide enhanced anti-inflammatory activity. Our observations assumed that the transdermal drug delivery system using ethosomes or niosomes loaded into gel might lead to an expansion in the anti-inflammatory use of CUR.

Stability studies

The optimized formulations (N3, and E9) were selected for stability study based on its in-vitro performance and stored in tightly closed glass vials at room temperature for 3 months and in the refrigerator (4±2°C) for 6 months. As shown in table 8, CUR niosomes show little change in particle size and PDI which indicates stability of the vesicles at these conditions, thus the leakage from the vesicles was minimal. However, the increase in size indicates intervesicular fusion. At room temperature
**Table 7:** Anti-inflammatory activity (Percent of inhibition) of different CUR formulation in to male rats. Each value represents the mean ± SD (n= 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Gr 1 (Control)</th>
<th>Gr 2 (niosomal gel N3)</th>
<th>Gr 3 (ethosomal gel E9)</th>
<th>Gr 4 free CUR gel</th>
<th>G5 Marketed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.81 ± 0.01</td>
<td>40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>9.090 ± 0.03</td>
<td>36.363 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41.66 ± 0.05</td>
<td>68.333 ± 0.31</td>
<td>16.666 ± 0.6</td>
<td>66.666 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66.66 ± 0.04</td>
<td>88.405 ± 0.04</td>
<td>30.434 ± 0.81</td>
<td>86.956 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71.42 ± 0.03</td>
<td>92.857 ± 0.51</td>
<td>38.571 ± 0.6</td>
<td>90.456 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79.45 ± 0.07</td>
<td>93.150 ± 0.71</td>
<td>45.205 ± 0.31</td>
<td>90.410 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>86.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>93.055 ± 0.51</td>
<td>51.388 ± 0.61</td>
<td>90.277 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>86.11 ± 0.09</td>
<td>93.055 ± 0.08</td>
<td>51.388 ± 0.41</td>
<td>93.055 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88 ± 0.018</td>
<td>93.333 ± 0.08</td>
<td>54.666 ± 0.21</td>
<td>93.333 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 8:** Characterization of different CUR niosomes and ethosomes after 3 and 6 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>E9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>424.8 ± 4.56</td>
<td>524.3 ± 38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE %</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ethosomes resulted in a slight increase in particle size after 3 months. Some curcumin crystals were observed in ethosomes. The encapsulation efficiency of CUR loaded niosomes maintained stable (85%) after 3-month storage, compared with ethosome (76%) (p< 0.05).

The results of the stability experiment showed that CUR niosomes were more rigid than ethosomes under room temperature. This may be due to the presence of high concentration of cholesterol and span 60 in niosomes that increased its rigidity and stability in comparison with ethosome formulations. All the samples were stored in amber-colored glass vials to protect vesicles from oxidation and hydrolysis of the lipids caused by light.

Furthermore, niosomes could protect CUR against degradation as shown in TLC figures (Fig. 9).

![Fig. 9: TLC–scanner 3D diagrams of CUR different formulation subjected to stability studies. CUR- standard (a and b different concentration), CUR niosomes freshly prepared (c), after 3 months (d), CUR ethosome freshly prepared (e), after 3 months (f), CUR niosomes after 6 months at 4°C (g) and CUR ethosome after 6 months at 4°C (h).](image-url)
**Thin layer chromatography**

Figure 9, reveals that, 3D chromatograms of free CUR solution have constant characteristic Rf values of 0.57 mm and 0.48 mm, with nearly the same areas under the peaks. Concentrations of CUR in freshly prepared niosomes are almost similar to that of formulations stored at room temperature for 3 months and no other spots are detected on the chromatograms. This finding indicated the good stability of niosomes. However, it is also noticed that, ethosomes which were stored for 3 months exhibited significantly lower concentration as compared to that of freshly prepared ethosome formulations. This result may be due to the evaporation of ethanol and the presence of a low concentration of cholesterol that leading to leakage of the vesicles and drug precipitation.

**Conclusions**

In summary, curcumin was successfully encapsulated in to niosomes and ethosomes with better physicochemical stability for prolonged time period. Selected niosome and ethosome formulations had optimum values of entrapment efficiency, suitable nanosize range for permeation through the rat skin. HPMC15000 gel of selected formulations showed enhanced skin permeation and anti-inflammatory activity compared to free drug. The highly stable CUR niosome formulation, exhibited significant cytotoxic effect against Hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Nanosized vesicles (niosome and ethosome) exhibited enhanced biological activity of CUR. They can be considered as promising carriers for transdermal delivery of curcumin and penetration enhancers. In conclusion these results in this work revealed that the formulated bilayer vesicles (ethosome and niosome) are excellent candidates for CUR encapsulation.
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نشرة العلوم الصيدلية
جامعة أسيوط

أداء الكاركيمين في حوامل نانومترية دقيقة نيوزومات وإيثوزومات
كمضاد للالتهاب للإستخدام الموضعي

منى مصطفى المهدي 1 - عبير سعد حسن 1 - محمود البدري عبد المطلب 2
جمال الدين عبد الفتاح الجندي 3

قسم الصيدلانيات، كلية الصيدلة، جامعة أسيوط، أسيوط، مصر
قسم الصيدلانيات، كلية الصيدلة، جامعة جنوب الوادي، قنا، مصر
مركز أسيوط الدولي لأدوية النانو، مستشفى الراجحي الجامعي للكد، جامعة أسيوط، أسيوط، مصر

يعتبر الكاركيمين أكثر المواد الطبيعية استنادا حيث أن له فوائد علاجية متعددة كمضاد للالتهاب ومضاد للأكسدة، ولكن إنخفاض ذابيته في الماء وتفافيته عبر الأنسجة الحيوية من أهم المشاكل التي تحد من استخدامه العلاجي. لذلك تم استخدام نوعين من الحويصلات النانومترية للنيوزومات وإيثوزومات لتحسين مشاكل الكاركيمين. تم تحضير كل من النيزومات والإيثوزومات ثم تم تقييمها من حيث الشكل، الحجم، جهد الزيتة، الكفاءة، التخليل ومعدل الإطلاق العقاري من الصياغات المحضرة. كذلك تم تحميل هذه الصياغات في بوليمير هيدروكسي بروبيل ميثيل سلولوز HPMC لتكون صيغ هلامية واختبارها على جلد الفئران كمضاد للالتهاب. دلت النتائج أن جميع التركيبات في نطاق الجسيمات متانة الصغر والتي تتراوح حجمها ما بين 316 و 558 نانومتر للنيوزومات و 182,1 و 354.5 لإيثوزومات. أظهرت دراسة نفاذية الكاركيمين عبر جلد الفئران أن معدل نفاذية الكاركمنين باستخدام الحويصلات النانومترية أعلى بأربعة أضعاف مقارنة بالكاركمين التقليدي. وأوضحت الدراسة الحيوية للفحص الباهث والأثيوسوم أنهما أحدثت إنخفاضا ملحوذا في سمك الورم في قدم الفئران الناتج عن الكاركيمين مقارنة بالكاركمن التقليدي.